Identification of Actionable Data for Maintenance Permeable Reactive Bio-Barriers Session A10, Biobarrier

installation and management

Matt Burns | matt.burns@wsp.com | Boston, MA, USAMike Brown | michael.j.brown@wsp.com | Boston, MA, USA

May 11, 2023



Factors influencing bioremediation configuration: whole plume vs passive treatment barrier

- Accessibility restrictions
- Delivery inefficiencies (e.g., low-k zones)
- Receptor proximity
- Response urgency
- Costs/cashflow



Degradation pathway

CVOCs + Reactants

Products + Products

The degradation equation/pathway is the remediation roadmap



Degradation pathways

CVOCs + Reactants

Products + Products

- The degradation equation/pathway is the remediation roadmap
- Reactants must be present or introduced to maintain ideal conditions throughout the duration of the remedy



Degradation pathways



- The degradation equation/pathway is the **remediation roadmap**
- **Reactants must be present or introduced to maintain ideal** conditions throughout the duration of the remedy
 - macro-nutrients
 - *micro-nutrients*
 - ideal "conditions"



Degradation pathways $CVOCs + \underset{Complementary geochem}{Nutrients} Products + Products$

- The degradation equation/pathway is the remediation roadmap
- Reactants must be present or introduced to maintain ideal conditions throughout the duration of the remedy
 - *macro-nutrients*
 - *micro-nutrients*
 - ideal "conditions"
- Reaction won't proceed if "ingredient" is missing
- *In situ* longevity of many amendments is not consistent with a single application achieving remedial goals



Factors influencing maintenance injection frequency

- In barrier attenuation rate must be greater than the mass loading rate
- CVOC concentration
- Naturally occurring "reactants"
- Nature and quantity of amendments previously applied
- Activated carbon slows contaminant transport and provides flexibility with maintenance injections (among other benefits)



Timing of maintenance injections is important

Collecting analytical data that that indicate amendment deficiencies is also very important

Long term costs are important too

- Analytical suites that efficiently inform on degradation pathway specifics are imperative
 - Do conventional diagnostics alone provide enough information?
 - Do MBT "pay for them selves"?







How to optimize analytical suite



100 Summer Street 13th floor Boston, MA 02110

978-844-3907

Site background



Site background

Remedial Strategy

- Back diffusion necessitates long-term management strategy
- Permeable reactive bio-barriers
 Vs
 Hydraulic control (P&T)
- Cost model showed bio-barrier to be favorable (similar capital costs but O&M less for the bio-barrier)
- Pilot bio-barrier



Pilot test well layout

Amendments

\\SD

- PlumeStop
- Sodium lactate
- HRC
- Microbial Augment
- Ammonium Sulfate
- Diammonium Phosphate
- Sodium Bicarbonate



Pilot test analytical suite



Data Type	Diagnostic	Aqueous	Sorbed/ISM
Empirical	Macronutrients	\checkmark	-
	Geochemical shifts	\checkmark	-
Direct	VOCs	\checkmark	\checkmark
	End Products	\checkmark	-
	CSIA	\checkmark	-
Mechanistic	Microbial Diagnostics	\checkmark	-



Identification of Actionable Data for Maintenance Permeable Reac



In Situ Microcosms



\\SD











17





Pilot study diagnostics provided high level of confidence





Area of Influence

Pathway Stimulated

NSD

Full-scale application

- 26 injection wells
- ~ 3 transects
- 160,000 gallons of amendment
- Formulation consistent with pilot



Performance monitoring results (typical)



- Substantial initial decrease in CVOC concentrations with increased ethene production
 - *Less efficient treatment evident about 1 year after application*
- Effects of treatment apparent in isotopic data too
 - TCE enriched and non-detect soon after treatment
 - Daughter products both also significantly enriched initially with depletion trend after a year
- DHC abundance shows same trend great initially then decreasing
- pH and ORP trends consistent with advanced diagnostics but at a much lower price point
- Can always supplement analytical with MBTs on an "as needed" basis

Conclusions



Cost Comparison (USD)

Remediation Approach	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Pump & Treat										
Pump Tests	75,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Design and Installation	500,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
O&M	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000
Monitoring	35,000	35.000	35.000	35.000	35.000	35,000	35.000	35.000	35.000	35.000
Total Annual Cost	910.000	335,000	335.000	335,000	335,000	335,000	335.000	335.000	335.000	335.000
Net Present Value	3,415,870									
In Situ										
Pilot Test	125,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Pilot Test Design and Installation	125,000 1,000,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000	0 30,000
Pilot Test Design and Installation O&M Estimate	125,000 1,000,000 0	0 30,000 100,000	0 30.000 0	0 30,000 100,000	0 30,000 0	0 30,000 100,000	0 30,000 0	0 30,000 100,000	0 30,000 0	30.000 100.000
Pilot Test Design and Installation O&M Estimate Monitoring	125,000 1,000,000 0 35,000	0 30.000 100.000 35.000	0 30,000 0 35,000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000	30,000 100,000 35,000
Piot Test Design and Installation OSM Estimate Monitoring Total Annual Cost	125.000 1.000.000 0 35.000 1.160.000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000 65,000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000 65,000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000 65,000	0 30,000 100,000 35,000	0 30,000 0 35,000 65,000	30,000 100,000 35,000

- At pilot test, a comprehensive analytical suite, including MBTs, are strongly recommended to demonstrate activity along degradation pathways
- A more efficient analytical suite can be used to identify that consumable amendments need replenishing (e.g., ORP, pH, ethene, etc.)
 - Metabolomics will be even better after sentinel metabolites are identified
- Regenesis recently announced availably of new colloidal electron donor (AquiFixTM) designed to be used with PlumeStop® with up to a 10-year release profile
- Image to the left shows the site-specific cost justification for permeable reactive bio-barrier treatment at this site
 - *Capital costs between both alternatives similar*
 - O&M of permeable reactive bio-barrier much less costly
 - Validated feasibility of cost-effective analytical suite

Thank You

Corresponding Author Contact

Matt Burns matt.burns@wsp.com 978-844-3907 https://www.linkedin.com/in/mattburnswsp

 $\langle \langle S \rangle \rangle$